UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Ronald Giovanni Perez-Paredes Case Number: <u>12-02164M-001</u>	
present ar	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 28, 2012. Defendant divided was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order of the defendant pending trial in this case. FINDINGS OF FACT	was the
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custon Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depoor of otherwise removed.	oms rted
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.	ated
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.	has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.	
TI at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the C of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	ourt
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required	l.
	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a correction appeal. To of the Unit	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement as facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pendered defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a celectric defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a celectric defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a celectric defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a celectric defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel.	ding ourt
	ADDEALS AND THIRD DARTY DELEASE	

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: November 28, 2012

United States Magistrate Judge